```
KAREN P. HEWITT
 1
   United States Attorney
   PAUL S. COOK
   Assistant U. S. Attorney
   California Bar No. 79010
   Federal Office Building
   880 Front Street, Room 6293
4
   San Diego, California 92101-8893
5
   Telephone: (619) 557-5687
   pcook@usdoj.gov
6
7
   Attorneys for the United States of America
8
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
                      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                       Criminal Case No. 08CR0992-H
11
                  Plaintiff,
                                       DATE: August 25, 2008
12
                                       TIME: 2:00 p.m.
        v.
13
   RUBEN RODRIGUEZ,
14
                                       GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE AND
                                       OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S
15
                                       MOTIONS:
16
                  Defendant.
                                            TO DISMISS INDICTMENT
                                       (1)
17
                                       TOGETHER WITH STATEMENT OF FACTS,
                                       MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
18
                                       AUTHORITIES
19
        The United States of America, by its counsel, Karen P. Hewitt,
20
   United States Attorney, and Paul S. Cook, Assistant United States
21
   Attorney, hereby responds to and opposes Defendants' above-captioned
   Motions. This response and opposition is based upon the files and
22
23
   records of the case, together with the attached statement of facts and
24
   memorandum of points and authorities. On May 6, 2008, the Government
25
   responded to Defendant's Discovery Motion and filed its motion for
26
   reciprocal discovery and fingerprint exemplars.
```

27

28

I STATEMENT OF FACTS

On Thursday, March 13, 2008 at 7:08 p.m., Defendant made application for admission into the United States from Mexico at the pedestrian lanes at San Ysidro, California Port of Entry (POE). He falsely claimed he was a United States citizen going to San Diego. He did not present any immigration or identification documents to the CBP Officer, but maintained that he was an American citizen. Defendant was escorted to the secondary area where a check of his fingerprints revealed that he was a deported alien who had been administratively removed from the United States on July 31, 1998, January 10, 2002, and December 8, 2005. Defendant invoked his right to remain silent.

Defendant was most recently ordered deported by an Immigration Judge in Tacoma, Washington, on March 6, 2008. Defendant has prior immigration convictions for two counts of 8 U.S.C. § 1325 in 1999, and one count of 8 U.S.C. § 1326 in 2003, both in the District of Oregon. Defendant also has convictions in 1995 for Attempted Burglary; 1996 for Larceny; 1998 for Theft II; 1999 for DUI; 1999 for False Information to Police; 1999 for Theft II; and 2002 for Theft III.

II THE INDICTMENT IS SUFFICIENT

Defendant argues that the indictment is defective in that it does not allege a specific deportation date or a temporal relationship of his removal to his prior felony conviction. Quite to the contrary, the Indictment alleges that the defendant "was removed from the United States subsequent to June 17, 2003." This date is subsequent to his felony convictions and prior to his "attempted entry" date of March

13, 2008, as charged in the Indictment. This complies with the
requirements of <u>United States v. Covian-Sandoval</u> , 462 F.3d 1090,
1096-98 (9th Cir.2006), [holding that the fact of a prior conviction
need not have been submitted to the jury, but the date of a prior
removal (necessary to determine whether the removal had followed the
conviction in time) must be admitted by the defendant or found by a
jury]. <u>See U.S. v. Salazar-Lopez</u> ,506 F.3d 748 (9 th Cir. 2007) (fact
that defendant had been removed after his conviction, should have been
alleged in the indictment and proved to the jury). Indeed, the Ninth
Circuit recently held that a district court did not err by permitting
the government to introduce evidence that defendant had been deported
on two separate occasions where the evidence of each deportation was
dissimilar, saying: "The government was entitled to introduce evidence
of both deportations to hedge the risk that the jury may reject the
offered proof of one deportation, but not the other." United States
v. Martinez-Rodriguez, 472 F.3d 1087 (9th Cir. 2007).

All of Defendant's convictions were prior to the June 17, 2003 date alleged in the Indictment and he has two removals, December 8, 2005, and March 6, 2008, which are subsequent to this date. The Indictment is sufficient and Defendant's motion to dismiss should be denied.

Date: August 18, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

KAREN P. HEWITT United States Attorney

s/Paul S. Cook
PAUL S. COOK
Assistant United States Attorney

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 08cr0992-H
4	Plaintiff,)
5	V.)
6) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE RUBEN RODRIGUEZ,
7	Defendant.)
8	
9	IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT:
10	
11	I, Paul S. Cook, am a citizen of the United States and am at
12	least eighteen years of age. My business address is 880 Front Street,
13	Room 6293, San Diego, California 92101-8893.
14	I am not a party to the above-entitled action. I have caused
15	service of Government's Response and Opposition to Defendant's Motions
16	on the following party by electronically filing the foregoing with the
17	Clerk of the District Court using its ECF System, which electronically
18	notifies them.
19	1. Victor Pippins
20	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is
21	true and correct.
22	Executed on August 18, 2008.
23	
24	s/Paul S. Cook
25	PAUL S. COOK
26	
27	
28	A 080r0002 H